

FY23 Application Scoring Rubric

Section	Points	Description
Purpose of Request (Part II.A)	Max= 5	
	1	Limited effort, did not describe project/purpose or project falls outside of victim services; unclear.
	3	Indicated project/purpose but limited details or insufficiently clearly linked to victim services.
	5	Brief but detailed response indicating purpose/response of request and clearly linked to victim services.
Reliance on ICDVVA Funding (Part II.B.6a)	Max=2	
	0	Increased reliance on ICDVVA funding.
	1	No change in reliance on ICDVVA funding.
	2	Decreased reliance on ICDVVA funding.
Funding Request (Part II.B.5, 6b,7,8)	Max=7	
	0	Responses do not demonstrate that the program attempted to adjust for anticipated funding declines, cut expenses, or pursue other funding sources; the funding request is too high/not realistic under the circumstances.
	3	Responses demonstrate that the applicant has not planned well for a funding decline and may be asking for grant funds in lieu of actively fundraising and pursuing other funding streams; the request and responses do not seem to consider the Council's need to balance the needs of this program with the needs of other funded programs in the state.
	5	Responses demonstrate that program has cut costs, planned for funding declines, and pursued other funding sources resulting in a reduced funding request which reflects genuine needs of the program which are well supported; the answers reflect an understanding of the decline in available funding and an attempt to make a reasonable/conservative funding request and consider the Council's need to consider the likely needs of other funded programs in the state.
	7	Responses demonstrate that program has cut costs, planned for funding declines, and pursued other funding sources; the program will still be dramatically impacted if the funding request is not granted and has provided data to demonstrate the extent of the impact; the negative impact could likely not have been avoided by better planning on the part of the applicant.
Advance Strategic Plan (Part II.B.9)	Max=15	

Victim Centered/Trauma-Informed	Max=3	
	0	Program does not describe how services are victim-centered or trauma-informed.
	3	Program describes how services are victim-centered or trauma-informed.
Advance Strategic Plan	Max=12	
	0	Insufficient response to question.
	4	Program offered description of advancing strategic plan. Does not include specifics.
	8	Program offered description of advancing strategic plan with some specific examples.
	12	Program indicated multiple ways they will advance the strategic plan with clear, concise examples that tie back to the plan for each.
Demand Exceeds Capacity (Part III.C.5)	Max=5	
	0	Insufficient response to question.
	2	Broad description of demand exceeding capacity but lacking data to support.
	3	Demand for services does not exceed program capacity and program described how they operate at capacity.
	5	Detailed response describing program demand for services exceeding current capacity and providing data to support demand (i.e., waiting list length, staff layoffs, fewer group classes, etc.).
Succession Plan (Part IV.A.5-6)	Max=3	
	0	Program does not have succession plan.
	1	Program indicates there is a plan but provides no detail, or indicates a plan is in development.
	2	Program has a succession plan but provides limited detail.
	3	Program has a detailed succession plan.
Collaboration (Part IV.B.1-3)	Max=15	
	0	Insufficient response. Program does not demonstrate any significant collaboration with others.
	5	Minimal/limited collaboration with other victim service programs.
	10	Solid, secure and demonstrated appropriate collaboration with other programs.
	15	Robust, exceptional collaboration with others in the region. Program collaborates with appropriate resources and demonstrates a team player mentality, putting victim services first.

Fiscal Management	Max = 26	
Fiscal Management (Part V.A-C)	<i>Max=14</i>	
	0	Insufficient details provided on agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes. Insufficient description of fundraising activities and goals.
	7	Limited details provided on agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes. Limited description of fundraising activities and goals.
	14	Detailed description of agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes, including roles/responsibilities/policies/procedures. Significant fundraising activities with detailed description of all activities and goals.
Budget for Direct Services (Part VI.A.1)	<i>Max=4</i>	
	0	Less than 60% of funding request is for direct services.
	1	60%-70% of funding request is for direct services.
	2	71%-80% of funding request is for direct services.
	3	81%-90% of funding request is for direct services.
	4	91%-100% of funding request is for direct services.
Budget for ED Salary (Part VI.B.)	<i>Max=5</i>	
	0	More than 93% of funding request is for ED salary.
	1	90-93% of funding request is for ED salary.
	2	61-89% of funding request is for ED salary.
	3	31-60% of funding request is for ED salary.
	4	1-30% of funding request is for ED salary.
	5	None of funding request is for ED salary.
Budget Line-Item Description (Part VI.B.3)	<i>Max=5</i>	
	1	Insufficient description for all line items requested.
	2	Insufficient description for some of line items requested.
	3	Insufficient description for half of line items requested.
	4	Sufficient description for most line items requested.

	5	Complete description for all line items requested.
Justification for new/and or expanded services (Part VI.B.4)	<i>Max=5</i>	
	1	Insufficient justification/supporting data for all line items requested.
	2	Insufficient justification/supporting data for some of line items requested.
	3	Sufficient justification/supporting data for half line items requested.
	4	Sufficient justification/supporting data for most line items requested.
	5	Thorough justification/supporting data for all line items requested.
	5	Program does not request new/and or expanded services.
Admin Request (Part VI.A.2)	<i>Max=1</i>	
	0	Program requested up to 5% of their budget for administrative purposes.
	1	Program did not request admin funding.
Training Funds (Part VI.B.6-9).	<i>Max=2</i>	
	0	Insufficient justification for requested training.
	1	Sufficient justification for requested training.
	2	Thorough justification for requested training and any hosted trainings are shared with others.
	2	Program did not request training funds.
Council Bonus Points		
Underserved Victims of Crime (Part III.C.1-2)	N/A	Program does not serve 75% or more underserved victims of crime.
	1	Program selected serves 75% or more undeserved victims of crime but did not provide an explanation of the population which falls within the ICDV current definition of underserved.
	2	
	3	Program serves 75% or more undeserved victims of crime and has identified populations within our definition and provided some data to support.
	4	
	5	Program serves 75% or more undeserved victims of crime with these populations identified and strong explanation and data to support.

Staff Scoring Only		
Administrative Performance	Max=10	
	2	Grade F. Risk Assessment Score 27-36.
	4	Grade D. Risk Assessment Score 19-26.
	6	Grade C. Risk Assessment Score 10-18.
	8	Grade B. Risk Assessment Score 6-9.
	10	Grade A. Risk Assessment Score 0-5.
Match	Max=2	
	0	Insufficient information provided.
	1	Match is accounted for, but with inadequate sources.
	2	Match is accounted for with adequate sources.
Staff Bonus Points		
Geographic isolation	5	Per CMS-Am I Rural.