

FY25 Application Scoring Rubric

Council Scoring		
	Points	Description
Purpose of Request	Max= 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Limited effort, did not describe project/purpose or project falls outside of victim services; unclear. 1 Indicated project/purpose but limited details or insufficiently clearly linked to victims services. 2 Brief but detailed response indicating purpose/response of request and clearly linked to victim services.
ICDVVA Project Budget	Max= 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Total project budget is an increase in ICDVVA funding. 1 Total project budget shows no change in ICDVVA funding. 2 Total project budget is an decrease in ICDVVA funding.
Reliance on ICDVVA Funding	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Increased reliance on ICDVVA funding. 1 No change in reliance on ICDVVA funding. 2 Decreased reliance on ICDVVA funding.
Result if request denied/reduced	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Responses do not demonstrate result if request is denied or significantly reduced. No data to support response. 1 Responses offer some details and data to support results if request is denied or significantly reduced. Outcome is still unclear. 2 Responses offer programmatic data and details to support results if denied or significantly reduced. Outcomes are clear.
Sustainability	Max=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Responses do not demonstrate that the program attempted to adjust for anticipated funding declines, cut expenses, or pursued other funding sources; program does not have a plan to sustain services. 1 Responses demonstrate that the applicant has not planned well for a funding decline and may be asking for grant funds in lieu of actively fundraising and pursuing other funding streams; the request and responses do not seem to take into account the Council's need to balance the needs of this program with the needs of other funded programs in the state. 2 Responses demonstrate that program has cut costs, planned for funding declines, and pursued other funding sources, resulting in a reduced funding request which reflects genuine needs of the program which are well supported; the answers reflect an understanding of the decline in available funding and an attempt to make a reasonable/conservative funding request and take into account the Council's need to consider the likely needs of other funded programs in the state. 3 Responses demonstrate that program has cut costs, planned for funding declines, and pursued other funding sources; program has a clear plan to sustain services and/or plan to handle significant decreased funding.
Trauma-Informed	Max=1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Program does not describe how services are trauma-informed. 1 Program describes how services are trauma-informed.
Advance Strategic Plan	Max=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient response to question. 1 Program offered general description of advancing strategic plan. Did not choose one specific goal/task or include measurable goals. 2 Program identified at least one goal/task from the strategic plan. Included some details but remains unclear how they will advance plan. 3 Program identified at least one goal/task from the strategic plan with clear, concise examples that tie back to the plan for each.
Demand Exceeds Capacity	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient response to question. 1 Demand for services does not exceed program capacity and program described how they operate at capacity. 2 Detailed response describing program demand for services exceeding current capacity and providing data to support demand (i.e. waiting list length, staff layoffs, fewer group classes, etc).
Succession Plan	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Program does not have succession plan; Program indicates there is a plan but provides no detail, or indicates a plan is in development. 1 Program has a succession plan but provides limited detail. 2 Program has a detailed succession plan.
Collaboration	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient response. Minimal/limited collaboration with other victim service programs 1 Solid, secure and demonstrated appropriate collaboration with other programs 2 Robust, exceptional collaboration with others in the region. Program collaborates with appropriate resources and demonstrates a team player mentality, putting victim services first
Fiscal Management	Max = 19	
Fiscal Management	Max=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient details provided on agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes. Insufficient description of fundraising activities and goals 1 Limited details provided on agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes. Limited description of fundraising activities and goals 2 Detailed description of agency fiscal management and/or grant administration changes, including roles/responsibilities/policies/procedures. Significant fundraising activities 3 with detailed description of all activities and goals.
Budget for Direct Services	Max=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Less than 60% of funding request is for direct services 1 60%-80% of funding request is for direct services 2 81%-99% of funding request is for direct services 3 100% of funding request is for direct services
Budget for ED Salary	Max=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 85% or more of funding request is for ED salary 1 46-84% of funding request is for ED salary 2 1-45% of funding request is for ED salary 3 None of funding request is for ED salary
Budget Line Item Description	Max=5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Insufficient description for all line items requested 2 Insufficient description for some of line items requested 3 Insufficient description for half of line items requested 4 Sufficient description for most line items requested 5 Complete description for all line items requested
Admin Request	Max=1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Program requested up to 5% of their budget for administrative purposes 1 Program did not request admin funding
Training Funds	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient justification for requested training 1 Sufficient justification for requested training 2 Thorough justification for requested training and any hosted trainings are shared with others. 2 Program did not request training funds.
Justification for new/and or expanded services	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Insufficient justification/supporting data for most line items requested 1 Sufficient justification/supporting data for most line items requested 2 Thorough justification/supporting data for all line items requested 2 Program does not request new/and or expanded services
Staff Scoring		
Regional Needs Score	Max=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Program is in the bottom third range for need score. 1 Program is in the middle range for need score. 2 Program is in the top third range for need score.

<i>Demographic Program Score</i>	<i>Max=2</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Program is in the bottom third range for demographic need score. 1 Program is in the middle range for demographic need score. 2 Program is in the top third range for demographic need score.
<i>Administrative Performance</i>	<i>Max=5</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Grade F. Risk Assessment Score 27-36. 2 Grade D. Risk Assessment Score 19-26. 3 Grade C. Risk Assessment Score 10-18. 4 Grade B. Risk Assessment Score 6-9. 5 Grade A. Risk Assessment Score 0-5.
<i>Match</i>	<i>Max=1</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 Match is accounted for, but with inadequate sources 1 Match is accounted for with adequate sources
		Bonus Points
<i>Geographic Isolation</i>	<i>Max =5</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 Per FVPSA Definition (CMS-Am I Rural)
<i>Underserved Victims of Crime</i>	<i>Max =5</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 Program serves underserved victims of crime by clearly identifying the populations they serve with strong data to support their response.